



## Correlations effects STM single molecule junctions

Andrea Donarini

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, Germany



Defects in Solids - Zürich





## Correlations effects STM single molecule junctions

### Andrea Donarini

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, Germany



Defects in Solids - Zürich





A. Mugarza, et al. PRB 85, 155437 (2012)

- CuPc on Ag(100) is anionic (CuPc<sup>-</sup>)
- The ground state is a triplet
- Triplet-singlet splitting: 21 meV



D. Toroz, et al. PRL 110, 018305 (2013)

### Motivation



Alteration of the molecular orbitals due electronic correlation

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i,j} (C_j^{N-1})^* C_i^N \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \langle \Phi_j^{N-1} | \hat{c}_{\alpha} | \Phi_i^N \rangle.$$

STM experiments probe quasiparticle wavefunctions which differ from the single particle molecular orbitals



F. Schulz et al. Nat. Physics 11, 229 (2015)

Visualization of many-body transitions in STM experiments





## Spectroscopy & Topography



J.Repp et al. PRL 94, 026803 (2005)



The two approaches only agree for **uncorrelated** systems close to **equilibrium** 



### The Hamiltonian

The STM single molecule junction is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{mol}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{mol-env}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{S}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{T}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{tur}}$$

UR

The molecule: interacting Hamiltonian for a small set of frontier orbitals

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathrm{mol}} = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \, \hat{n}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} V_{ijkl} \, \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{k\sigma'}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{l\sigma'} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{j\sigma}$$

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

TR

### Image charge effects

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{mol-env}} = -\delta_{\mathrm{ic}}(\hat{N} - N_0)^2$$

This term incorporates the two main effects which stabilize the excess charge on the molecule

Image charge effect

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

K. Kaasbjerg and K. Flensberg *PRB* **84**, 115457 (2011)

**Polaron formation** 

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

F. E. Olsson et al., PRL 98,176803 (2007)

### Leads and tunnelling

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

The tip and substrate are modeled as reservoirs of non interacting fermions

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathrm{S/T}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{S/T} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{S/T\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{S/T\mathbf{k}\sigma}$$

The tunnelling Hamiltonian is calculated following the tunnelling theory of Bardeen.

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathrm{tun}} = \sum_{\chi \mathbf{k} i \sigma} t_{\mathbf{k} i}^{\chi} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{\chi \mathbf{k} \sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{i \sigma} + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

Tip tunnelling amplitudes follow the Chen's derivative rule.

UR

Substrate tunnelling amplitudes are proportional to the **overlap** of the molecule and substrate wavefunctions.

S. Sobczyk, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, *PRB* 85, 205408 (2012)

### **Transport** calculations

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

The system dynamics is obtained by solving a generalized master equation for the reduced density matrix  $\sigma = Tr_{S,T}(\rho)$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\mathcal{L}[\sigma^{\infty}] \equiv 0$  defines the stationary reduced density matrix.

UR

S. Sobczyk, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 85, 205408 (2012)

## Tunnelling Liouvillean

TR

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$\mathcal{L}_{tun}\sigma^{NE} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\chi\tau}\sum_{ij}\left\{\mathcal{P}_{NE}\left[d_{i\tau}^{\dagger}\Gamma_{ij}^{\chi}(E-H_{m})f_{\chi}^{-}(E-H_{m})d_{j\tau} + d_{j\tau}\Gamma_{ij}^{\chi}(H_{m}-E)f_{\chi}^{+}(H_{m}-E)d_{i\tau}^{\dagger}\right]\sigma^{NE} + h.c.\right\}$$

$$+\sum_{\chi\tau}\sum_{ijE'}\mathcal{P}_{NE}\left[d_{i\tau}^{\dagger}\Gamma_{ij}^{\chi}(E-E')\sigma^{N-1E'}f_{\chi}^{+}(E-E')d_{j\tau} + d_{j\tau}\Gamma_{ij}^{\chi}(E'-E)\sigma^{N+1E'}f_{\chi}^{-}(E'-E)d_{i\tau}^{\dagger}\right]\mathcal{P}_{NE}$$

$$\int_{Particle Number}$$
S. Sobczyk, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, *PRB* **85**, 205408 (2012)

## Tunnelling rate matrix

TR

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{NE} \sum_{\chi\sigma} \sum_{ij} \mathcal{P}_{NE} \left[ d_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{ij}^{\chi} (E - H_{\text{m}}) p_{\chi} (E - H_{\text{m}}) d_{j\sigma} + d_{j\sigma} \Gamma_{ij}^{\chi} (H_{\text{m}} - E) p_{\chi} (H_{\text{m}} - E) d_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \right] \mathcal{P}_{NE}$$
Effective Hamiltonian

$$\Gamma^{\chi}_{ij}(\Delta E) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (t^{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}i})^* t^{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}j} \delta(\epsilon^{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}} - \Delta E)$$

S. Sobczyk, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, *PRB* 85, 205408 (2012)

### Many-body rate matrix

UR

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

The current is proportional to the transition rates between many-body states

$$R_{N E_{0} \to N+1 E_{1}}^{\chi \tau} = \sum_{ij} \langle N+1E_{1} d_{i\tau}^{\dagger} | NE_{0} \rangle \Gamma_{ij}^{\chi} (E_{1}-E_{0}) \times \langle NE_{0} | d_{j\tau} N+1E_{1} \rangle f^{+} (E_{1}-E_{0}-\mu_{\chi})$$

For uncorrelated and non-degenerate systems the many-body rate reduces to

$$R_{N E_0 \to N+1 E_1}^{\chi \tau} = \Gamma_{\text{orb}}^{\chi}(\epsilon_{\text{orb}}) f^+(\epsilon_{\text{orb}} - \mu_{\chi}) \qquad \qquad \epsilon_{\text{orb}} - \epsilon_{\text{orb}}$$

Close to equilibrium, the **constant current map** is the **isosurface** of a **specific molecular orbital** (Tersoff-Hamann theory of STM)

S. Sobczyk, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, *PRB* 85, 205408 (2012)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

### UR Particle-in-a-box like states

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### In oligothiophenes

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

2.0 Voltage (V)

1

2

0

1.5

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

LUMO + 4

J. Repp et al., Nat. Phys. 6, 975 (2010)

2.5

3.0

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

General statement of the Sturm-Liouville theory for differential equations:

In a one dimensional system the eigenfunction of the **n-th** excited state has **n** nodes.

the n-th excited state has n nodes.

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

P. Yu, N. Kocić, J. Repp, B. Siegert, and A. Donarini, *PRL* **119**, 056801 (2017)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

This transition would be forbidden if  $J/\Delta = 0$ 

P. Yu, N. Kocić, J. Repp, B. Siegert, and A. Donarini, PRL 119, 056801 (2017)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

P. Yu, N. Kocić, J. Repp, B. Siegert, and A. Donarini, *PRL* **119**, 056801 (2017)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Conclusions I

By chemical engineering of the single-particle level spacing between two frontier orbitals we control the degree of electroniccorrelation in single molecule junctions

We observe the apparent reversal in the orbital sequence of a dicyanovinyl-quinquethiophene (DCV5T) in STM upon changing the crystallographic orientation of the insulator-coated copper substrate

The orbital reversal is the signature of an **entangled ground state** which we understand in terms of a minimal interacting model

Criteria for such entanglement are clearly formulated in terms of the parameters in the minimal model and allow us to predict and control its occurrence for other molecules.

P. Yu, N. Kocić, J. Repp, B. Siegert, and A. Donarini, PRL 119, 056801 (2017)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Change in the occupation of the metal *d*-orbitals:

#### Interplay of:

- (Octahedral) ligand field splitting
- Exchange interaction

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

V. Meded, et al. PRB 83, 245415 (2011)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Minimal basis set

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

The single particle Hamiltonian is constructed following LCAO schemes of Harrison [1] and Slater-Koster [2].

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

C.Uhlmann et al., Nano Lett. 13, 777 (2013)

[1] S. Froyen and W.A. Harrison, *PRB* 20, 2420 (1979)
[2] J. C. Slater and G. F. Koster, *Phys. Rev.* 94, 1498 (1954)

## Many-body Hamiltonian

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

The many-body Hamiltonian for the molecule reads

UR

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathrm{mol}} = \sum_{i} (\epsilon_{i} + \Delta) \,\hat{n}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} V_{ijkl} \,\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{k\sigma'}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{l\sigma'} \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{j\sigma}$$

 $\Delta$  is a free parameter accounting for the crystal field of the core ions and the frozen electrons

 $V_{ijkl}$  are ALL Coulomb integrals among the dynamical orbitals

The Coulomb integrals are calculated with the relative dielectric constant  $\epsilon_{mol} = 2.2$ . The atomic orbitals are of Slater type.

$U_S$	11.352 eV $J_{HL}^{\text{ex}} = -\tilde{J}_{H+}^{\text{p}}$	$_{}$ 548 meV
$U_H$	$1.752 \text{ eV } J_{+-}^{\text{ex}}$	258  meV
$U_L = U_{+-}$	$1.808 \text{ eV } J_{+-}^{\text{p}}$	168  meV
$U_{SH}$	1.777 eV $J_{SL}^{\text{ex}} = -\tilde{J}_{S+1}^{\text{p}}$	- 9 meV
$U_{SL}$	1.993 eV $J_{SH}^{\text{ex}} = J_{SH}^{\text{p}}$	$2 \mathrm{meV}$
$U_{HL}$	$1.758 { m eV}$	

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Topography of CuPc

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

cationic resonance:  $\phi_0 = 4.65 \text{ eV}$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

$$I_{\chi}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_{\mathrm{res}}) = 0.5\,\mathrm{pA}$$

UR

anionic resonance:  $\phi_0 = 4.65 \text{ eV}$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$I_{\chi}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_{\mathrm{res}}) = 0.75 \,\mathrm{pA}$$

$$I_{\chi}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}},V_b)=\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{mol}}\left(\hat{N}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}[\sigma^{\infty}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}},V_b)]
ight)$$

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $S(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_b) = \sqrt{\langle \hat{S}^2 \rangle(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_b) + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{with} \quad \langle \hat{S}^2 \rangle(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_b) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{mol}}\left(\hat{S}^2 \rho_{\mathrm{red}}^{\infty}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{T}}, V_b)\right)$ 

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Standard vs. anomalous

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

The anomalous current map depends on the nature of the excited state

The **population inversion** relies on the strong asymmetry between substrate and tip tunneling rates and on the weak relaxation rate

J.Repp et al. *PRL* **94**, 026803 (2005)

#### **Population** inversion **U**R Current and topographic maps of an anionic transition resembles the HOMO The average spin of the molecule varies with the tip position and does not correspond to the one of the molecular ground state cationic resonance: $\phi_0 = 4.65 \text{ eV}$ Standard Anomalous 0.51.2 $\left( \begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ \text{Vd} \end{array} \right) _{0.3}$ S $\overline{\neg} 0.2$ 0.80.8 0.1 anion 0.6 population anionic resonance: $\phi_0 = 4.65 \text{ eV}$ 0.60.502 Standard 0.4 (pA)0.501 <sup>S</sup> neutral 0.2 0.5 0.5 0 50 50 -50 -50 0 0 -50 0 50 anionic resonance: $\phi_0 = 5 \text{ eV}$ Anomalous $V_b - V_{\rm res} \,({\rm mV})$ 1.2 (Vd) 1 0.6 The molecule undergoes a **population inversion** 1 s 0.8which depends on the tip position 0.6

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Is CuPc so special?

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the appearance of non equilibrium spin-crossover:

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

## TRA class of single molecule junctions #

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

### Conclusions II

- We developed a **minimal model** for the Cu-Phthalocyanine in terms of **four** interacting frontier orbitals.
- For an experimentally accessible substrate workfunction of 5 eV, we predict the appearance, close to the anionic resonance of non equilibrium spincrossover.
- Dramatic changes in the current and topographical maps with respect to standard LUMO resonances are found as fingerprints of the spin-crossover
- A class of single molecule junctions candidates for the observation of non equilibrium spin-crossover is defined in terms of relations between transport gap, optical gap and substrate workfunction.
- B. Siegert, A. Donarini, and M. Grifoni, PRB 93, 121406(R) (2016)

TR

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Aknowledgments

#### Theory

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

Milena Grifoni

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

Benjamin Siegert Sandra Sobczyk

#### Experiment

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

Nemania Kocić

![](_page_40_Picture_11.jpeg)

Ping Yu

Jascha Repp

![](_page_40_Picture_13.jpeg)

Volkswagen Stiftung **GRK 1570** SFB 689 Lichtenberg Programm

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Thank you for your attention

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)